SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 06/30/11 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Cambridge State: MA

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$18,471,971 PJ's Size Grouping*: B PJ Since (FY): 1992

| | | | | | Nat'l Ranking (| Percentile):* |
|---|----------|---------------|------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Group B | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | PJs in State: 19 | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 94.34 % | 95.73 % | 13 | 92.63 % | 61 | 59 |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 85.23 % | 90.86 % | 18 | 86.67 % | 33 | 35 |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 11.51 | 8.81 | 1 | 5.03 | 100 | 100 |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 92.55 % | 92.93 % | 12 | 88.14 % | 32 | 31 |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 63.13 % | 75.03 % | 17 | 76.19 % | 23 | 22 |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 73.47 % | 82.21 % | 17 | 81.48 % | 20 | 21 |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 39.29 % | 52.16 % | 17 | 45.62 % | 35 | 37 |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 99.49 % | 97.79 % | 9 | 96.17 % | 54 | 53 |
| Overall Ranking: | | In St | tate: 16 / 19 | Nation | nally: 35 | 42 |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed | Units: | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$35,867 | \$28,474 | | \$28,750 | 196 Units | 48.80 |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$24,249 | \$17,035 | | \$15,714 | 198 Units | 49.30 |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$15,438 | \$15,657 | | \$21,140 | 8 Units | 2.00 |
| TBRA Unit | \$0 | \$4,084 | | \$3,230 | 0 Units | 0.00 |

^{* -} A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (59 PJs)

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (216 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (287 PJs)

 $^{^{\}star\star}$ - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

^{***-} This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

MA Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Cambridge

Total Development Costs: (average reported cost per unit in HOME-assisted projects)

PJ: State:* National:** Rental \$172,939 \$161,842 \$103,194 Homebuyer \$198,578 \$107,277 \$78,960

Homeowner \$26,988 \$23,238 \$24,147 **CHDO Operating Expenses:** (% of allocation)

PJ:

National Avg:

1.2 % 1.2 %

| R.S. | Means | Cost | Index: | 1.18 |
|------|-------|------|--------|------|
| | | | | |

| RACE: | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % | HOUSEHOLD TYPE: | Rental H % | omebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % |
|--|-------------|----------------|---------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----------|
| White: | 40.8 | 32.8 | 50.0 | 0.0 | Single/Non-Elderly: | 32.7 | 18.7 | 25.0 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American: | 33.7 | 34.8 | 50.0 | 0.0 | Elderly: | 5.6 | 2.0 | 37.5 | 0.0 |
| Asian: | 5.1 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Single Parent: | 37.8 | 32.3 | 37.5 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Two Parent: | 17.9 | 44.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 5.6 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Other Multi Racial: | 1.5 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 0.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| ETHNICITY: | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic | 17.3 | 13.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: | | | SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE: | | | | | | |
| 1 Person: | 32.1 | 16.7 | 25.0 | 0.0 | Section 8: | 61.7 | 6.1 | | |
| 2 Persons: | 26.5 | 17.2 | 50.0 | 0.0 | HOME TBRA: | 0.5 | | | |
| 3 Persons: | 25.0 | 25.8 | 12.5 | 0.0 | Other: | 4.1 | | | |
| 4 Persons: | 13.3 | 24.2 | 12.5 | 0.0 | No Assistance: | 33.7 | | | |
| 5 Persons: | 2.6 | 12.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | 0.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | # of Section 504 Compliant | Units / Com | pleted Un | its Since 200 | 207 |

^{*} The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

^{**} The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

[#] Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.

— HOME PROGRAM — SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS

Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Cambridge State: MA Group Rank: 35

State Rank: 16 / 19 PJs

Overall Pank

Overall Rank: 42 (Percentile)

Summary: 0 Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|---------------|---|------------|------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 85.50% | 92.55 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 60.40% | 63.13 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME | < 70%** | 73.47 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 92.15% | 99.49 | |
| "ALLOCATION-Y | YEARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | > 2.980 | 2.37 | |

^{*} This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs



^{**} This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

^{***} Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2006 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.